ANNUAL MEETING ON WOMEN'S CANCER SANDEGO MARCH 19-22, 2016

CONTRACTOR OF A VALUE OF

A PHASE III CLINICAL TRIAL OF BEVACIZUMAB WITH IV VERSUS IP CHEMOTHERAPY IN OVARIAN, FALLOPIAN TUBE AND PRIMARY PERITONEAL CARCINOMA NCI-SUPPLIED AGENT(S): BEVACIZUMAB (NSC #704865, IND #7921) NCT01167712 a GOG/NRG Trial (GOG 252)

Joan L. Walker; Mark F Brady; Paul A DiSilvestro; Keiichi Fujiwara; David Alberts; Wenxin Zheng; Krishnansu Tewari; David E Cohn; Matthew Powell; Linda van Le; Stephen Rubin; Susan A Davidson; Heidi J Gray; Steven Waggoner; Tashanna Myers; Carol Aghajanian; Angeles Alvarez Secord; Robert S Mannel



Verbal Disclosure

- This clinical trial was supported by Genentech for bevacizumab and drug distribution
- Dr. Walker has not personally received funding for this trial
- This study was supported by National Cancer Institute grants to the Gynecologic Oncology Group Administrative Office (CA 27469), the Gynecologic Oncology Group Statistical and Data Center (CA 37517), the NRG Oncology Operations U10CA 180868 and NRG Oncology SDMC U10SDMC grant 180822



GOG 252: IP chemo and dose dense Paclitaxel showed improved OS, both have toxicities; which is best?

Key questions for GOG 252	Indications and contemporary results	Implications for GOG 252 schema
Should we use dose dense Paclitaxel?	 JGOG 3016 showed improved OS, but not replicated in the US 	Arm 1: Dose dense Paclitaxel
Should we use IP chemotherapy?	 GOG 172 showed survival advantage, but was toxic, with only 42% receiving 6 cycles; additional studies were done to address the toxicity: -GOG9916/17 Substituted IP carbo for cisplatin -GOG9921 Reduced IP cisplatin dose 	Arm 2:IP Chemo substituteArm 3:IP chemo, reduced cisplatin dose
Should we use Bevacizumab?	 GOG 218 showed improved PFS with Bev, and feasibly safe with IP Chemo 	All: Include Bevacizumab



GOG 252: Schema

Phase A: Cycles 1-6*

Phase B: Cycles 7-22*

Eligibility

- Stage II-III Epithelial Carcinoma: Ovary, Fallopian Tube, Peritoneal
- Resected to optimal: less than or equal to 1 cm visible tumor by surgeon report
- Exploratory: suboptimal (7%) and Stage IV (5%)





Differences in Dosing in GOG 252 Arm 3 IP Cisplatin compared to GOG 172

- Dose reduction cisplatin(100 down to 75 mg/m²)
- Infusion time reduction 135 mg/m² paclitaxel(3 hr instead of 24h)
- All outpatient therapy
- Bevacizumab 15 mg/m2 for all arms on cycles 2-22
- Comparison arm dose dense paclitaxel with carbo IV AUC 6- GOG 262 (JGOG)
- Second experimental Arm IP carbo and dose dense paclitaxel



GOG 252 accrual and demographics

- 1560 participants from July 2009-Nov 2011
- Median age 58 years
- White 90%; Black 3%; Hispanic 3%
- Stage III- 84%
- Stage II- 10%
- Grade 3 Serous 72%
- No visible residual disease per surgeon 57%
 - Exploratory aim: suboptimal (7%) and Stage IV (5%)



GOG 252 assigned treatment completion

Arm	At least 6 cycles of Platinum	At least 6 cycles of taxane	# Bev Cycles
Arm 1: IV Carbo	90%	87%	20
Arm 2: IP Carb	90%	88%	19
Arm 3: IP Cisp	84%	87%	17

Cross-over to the IV only therapy occurred in 16% randomized to IP carbo arm and 28% randomized to IP cis arm Twice as many patients stopped protocol directed bevacizumab prior to completion of Cycle 6 on the arm 3 IP cisplatin (30% vs 15%)

GOG 252 Toxicity

Event	IV Carbo		IP Carbo		IP Cisp	
	G2	<u>></u> G3	G2	<u>></u> G3	G2	<u>></u> G3
Feb/neut		2.5%		2.6%		3.3%
Neut		71%		68%		64%
Platelets		17.6%		15.1%		6.1%
HTN		11.9%		13.8%		20.5%
Thromb		6.3%		8.4%		9.0%
N/V		5.1%		4.7%		11.2%
Fistula		5.3%		3.7%		4.3%
Urine Prot		2.7%		3.1%		1.6%
Sens Neur	24.1	5.7%	22.6	4.5%	21.3	5.5%



Progression Free Survival Optimal Stage II-III (10% stage II)

Arm	N	Events	Median PFS	HR [95% CI]	Logrank	Logrank
IV Carbo	461	303	26.8 months	Reference arm	P-value	Chi square
IP Carbo	464	300	28.7 months	0.947 [0.808- 1.11]	0.416	0.661
IP Cisp	456	307	27.8 months	1.01 [0.858-1.18]	0.727	0.122

 Estimated hazard ratios, and logrank tests are adjusted for stage of disease and size of residual disease micro vs < 1cm

• CT required every 6 months for surveillance (not required in GOG 114/172)



Progression Free Survival Optimal Stage II-III



Progression Free Survival Optimal Stage III NGR



Across Study Comparisons for PFS

Arm Study	PFS Median in mos No visible dx Stage 3	PFS median mos 1 cm or less visible dx
GOG 114 & 172 IV cisplatin	33.4	
GOG 172 IV cisplatin	43.2	18.3
GOG 252 IV carbo	31.3	26.8 (10% stage II)
GOG 114 & 172 IP cisplatin	43.2	
GOG 172 IP cisplatin	60.4	23.8
GOG 252 IP carboplatin	31.8	28.7 (10% Stage II)
GOG 252 IP cisplatin	33.8	27.8 (10% Stage II)



Discussion

- Survival for optimal and no residual disease participants will not be available for a few years.
- Dose reductions of paclitaxel and cisplatin as well as cross- over may have compromised efficacy.
- Dose dense paclitaxel may have improved efficacy to allow us to abandon IP chemo- must we wait- combine both?
- Bevacizumab interactions could have clouded analysis



Conclusions

- All arms have excessive toxicity
- Neurotoxicity is similarly high in all arms
- Reserve changes in treatment recommendations until survival data available for no residual disease high grade serous Stage III participants.
- IP Cisplatin increases bevacizumab associated HTN



APPENDIX



GOG 172 Schema





GOG 172: Ovarian (Optimal III)



Residual disease and Survival: GOG 172, 114





Bringing Together the Best in Women's Cancer Care

RESIDUAL DISEASE AND SURVIVAL: GOG 172, 114





Histology and Survival: GOG 172,114





Histology and Survival: GOG 172,114



